

# Algorithmic aspects of left-orderings of solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups via dynamical realizations

Dino Rossegger (j.w. Meng-Che “Turbo” Ho and Khanh Le)

Technische Universität Wien

Computability in Europe 2024

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101026834.



Countable Borel equivalence relations and groups

Left-orderable groups and their dynamics

Borel complexity of  $E_{lo}^{\text{BS}(1,n)}$

Effective aspects

# COUNTABLE BOREL EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS AND GROUPS

---

- Let  $X$  be a Polish space (e.g.,  $2^\omega$ ,  $2^G$ ,  $\omega^\omega$ ). The set  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of **Borel subsets** of  $X$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open subsets of  $X$ .
- An equivalence relation  $E$  on a Polish space  $X$  ...
  - is **Borel** if it is a Borel subset of  $X \times X$ .
  - is **countable (finite)** if every  $E$ -class is countable (finite).
  - is **hyperfinite** if there are finite  $E_i \subseteq E_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \omega$  with  $E = \bigcup E_i$ .
- A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is Borel, if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is Borel for every open  $A \subseteq Y$ .
- For two Borel equivalence relations  $E$  and  $F$ ,  $E$  is **Borel reducible** to  $F$ ,  $E \leq_B F$  if there is Borel  $f$  such that  $x E y$  if and only if  $f(x) F f(y)$ .

- Let  $X$  be a Polish space (e.g.,  $2^\omega$ ,  $2^G$ ,  $\omega^\omega$ ). The set  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of **Borel subsets** of  $X$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open subsets of  $X$ .
- An equivalence relation  $E$  on a Polish space  $X$  ...
  - is **Borel** if it is a Borel subset of  $X \times X$ .
  - is **countable (finite)** if every  $E$ -class is countable (finite).
  - is **hyperfinite** if there are finite  $E_i \subseteq E_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \omega$  with  $E = \bigcup E_i$ .
- A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is Borel, if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is Borel for every open  $A \subseteq Y$ .
- For two Borel equivalence relations  $E$  and  $F$ ,  $E$  is **Borel reducible** to  $F$ ,  $E \leq_B F$  if there is Borel  $f$  such that  $x E y$  if and only if  $f(x) F f(y)$ .

A countable equivalence relation  $E$  is

- **smooth** if  $E \leq_B id^{2^\omega}$
- **hyperfinite** iff  $E \equiv_B E_0$ : for  $x, y \in 2^\omega$   $x E_0 y \iff \exists m (\forall n > m) x(n) = y(n)$
- **universal** if for every countable Borel  $F$ ,  $F \leq_B E$ ,

For example  $E_s^{F_2}$  where for  $x, y \in 2^{F_2}$ ,  $x E_s^{F_2} y \iff (\exists g \in F_2) \forall h x(h) = y(gh)$ , is universal.

**Theorem (Harrington-Kechris-Louveau '90).** A Borel equivalence relation  $E$  is either smooth or  $E_0 \leq_B E$ .

Several examples of **intermediate** equivalence relations are known.

A countable equivalence relation  $E$  is

- **smooth** if  $E \leq_B id^{2^\omega}$
- **hyperfinite** iff  $E \equiv_B E_0$ : for  $x, y \in 2^\omega$   $x E_0 y \iff \exists m (\forall n > m) x(n) = y(n)$
- **universal** if for every countable Borel  $F$ ,  $F \leq_B E$ ,

For example  $E_s^{F_2}$  where for  $x, y \in 2^{F_2}$ ,  $x E_s^{F_2} y \iff (\exists g \in F_2) \forall h x(h) = y(gh)$ , is universal.

**Theorem (Harrington-Kechris-Louveau '90).** A Borel equivalence relation  $E$  is either smooth or  $E_0 \leq_B E$ .

Several examples of **intermediate** equivalence relations are known.

**Theorem (Slaman-Steel '88).** Turing equivalence is not hyperfinite.

**Question.** Is Turing equivalence complete?

**Theorem (Feldman–Moore '77).** A countable equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$  is Borel iff there is a countable group  $G$  such that  $E$  is the orbit equivalence relation of a Borel action of  $G$  on  $X$  ( $G \curvearrowright X$ ).

A countable group  $G$  is **amenable** if there is a left-invariant, finitely additive probability measure on  $2^G$ .

**Conjecture (Weiss).** If  $E$  is the orbit equivalence relation of a Borel action of a countable amenable group, then  $E$  is hyperfinite.

Verified only for a subclass. Most recently by Conley–Jackson–Marks–Seward–Tucker-Drob '23.



# LEFT-ORDERABLE GROUPS AND THEIR DYNAMICS

---

A group  $G$  is **left-orderable** if there is a linear ordering  $\leq$  on  $G$  such that for all  $f, g, h \in G$   $g \leq h \implies fg \leq fh$ . If in addition  $g \leq h \implies gf \leq hf$ , then  $G$  is bi-orderable.

$\leq$  partitions  $G$  into the **positive cone**  $P = \{g \in G : g \geq id\}$ ,  $P^{-1} = \{g^{-1} : g \in P^+\}$  and  $\{id\}$ .

This is a characterization, i.e., for every  $P$  such that  $G = P \cup P^{-1} \cup \{id\}$  there is an induced left-ordering on  $G$  via  $g \leq_P h \iff g^{-1}h \in P$ .

A group  $G$  is **left-orderable** if there is a linear ordering  $\leq$  on  $G$  such that for all  $f, g, h \in G$   $g \leq h \implies fg \leq fh$ . If in addition  $g \leq h \implies gf \leq hf$ , then  $G$  is bi-orderable.

$\leq$  partitions  $G$  into the **positive cone**  $P = \{g \in G : g \geq id\}$ ,  $P^{-1} = \{g^{-1} : g \in P^+\}$  and  $\{id\}$ .

This is a characterization, i.e., for every  $P$  such that  $G = P \cup P^{-1} \cup \{id\}$  there is an induced left-ordering on  $G$  via  $g \leq_P h \iff g^{-1}h \in P$ .

$LO(G) = \{P \subseteq G : P \text{ a positive cone}\}$  is a closed subspace of  $2^G$  and thus Polish.

Let  $E_{lo}^G$  be the orbit relation of  $G \curvearrowright LO(G)$  via conjugation, i.e.,  $(g, x) \mapsto x^g = g^{-1}xg$ .

Calderoni–Clay: Study the Borel complexity of  $E_{lo}^G$  for countable groups.

Calderoni and Clay gave several examples of groups where  $E_{lo}$  is smooth, hyperfinite, or universal.

- (Calderoni–Clay '22)  $E_{lo}^{F_2}$  is universal for  $n > 2$ .
- If  $G$  is torsion-free abelian, then  $E_{lo}^G$  is smooth.
- (Calderoni–Clay '23)  $E_{lo}^{\text{BS}(1,n)}$  is not smooth for  $n > 1$  where  $\text{BS}(1, n) = \langle a, b : b^{-1}ab = a^n \rangle$

Calderoni and Clay gave several examples of groups where  $E_{lo}$  is smooth, hyperfinite, or universal.

- (Calderoni–Clay '22)  $E_{lo}^{F_2}$  is universal for  $n > 2$ .
- If  $G$  is torsion-free abelian, then  $E_{lo}^G$  is smooth.
- (Calderoni–Clay '23)  $E_{lo}^{\text{BS}(1,n)}$  is not smooth for  $n > 1$  where  
 $\text{BS}(1, n) = \langle a, b : b^{-1}ab = a^n \rangle$

*Question (Calderoni–Clay '23)* Is  $E_{lo}^{\text{BS}(1,n)}$  hyperfinite?

*Question (Calderoni–Clay '22)* Are there groups such that  $E_{lo}^G$  is intermediate?

**Theorem (Ghys '01).** Let  $G$  be a countable group. Then tfae:

- (1)  $G$  is left-orderable.
- (2)  $G$  acts faithfully on the real line by orientation preserving homeomorphism, i.e., there is a faithful representation  $D : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ .

Idea for (2)  $\implies$  (1): Fix a dense sequence  $(x_i)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and define  $P_D$  as  $g \in P_D$  if for the least  $i$  such that  $D(g)(x_i) \neq x_i$ ,  $D(g)(x_i) > x_i$ .

**Theorem (Ghys '01).** Let  $G$  be a countable group. Then tfae:

- (1)  $G$  is left-orderable.
- (2)  $G$  acts faithfully on the real line by orientation preserving homeomorphism, i.e., there is a faithful representation  $D : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ .

Idea for (2)  $\implies$  (1): Fix a dense sequence  $(x_i)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and define  $P_D$  as  $g \in P_D$  if for the least  $i$  such that  $D(g)(x_i) \neq x_i$ ,  $D(g)(x_i) > x_i$ .

(1)  $\implies$  (2) Fix an enumeration  $(g_i)$  of  $G$  and define a map  $t : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that preserves  $\leq$  by  $t(g_0) = 0$  and

$$t(g_i) = \begin{cases} \max\{t(g_0), \dots, t(g_{i-1})\} + 1 & \text{if } (\forall j < i) g_j \prec g_i \\ \min\{t(g_0), \dots, t(g_{i-1})\} - 1 & \text{if } (\forall j < i) g_i \prec g_j \\ \frac{t(g_m) + t(g_n)}{2} & \text{if } g_i \in (g_m, g_n), m, n < i \text{ and } (\forall j < i) g_j \notin (g_m, g_n) \end{cases}$$

Let  $G \curvearrowright t(G)$  via  $g(t(g_i)) = t(gg_i)$ . This action can be extended to obtain a faithful representation  $D : G \mapsto \text{Homeo}^+(\mathbb{R})$ .

Note that this effectivizes:

1. There is a Turing operator  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi(G, P, g) = D(g)$ .
2. Similarly if we are given  $D, G$  and  $(x_i)$  we can compute a positive cone  $P$ .



BOREL COMPLEXITY OF  $E_{lo}^{\text{BS}(1,n)}$

---

$$\mathrm{BS}(1, n) = \{a, b : b^{-1}ab = a^n\}$$

$\mathrm{BS}(1, n)$  splits over

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/n] \rightarrow \mathrm{BS}(1, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$$

i.e., it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , and thus we can represent elements as pairs  $(r, s)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  and  $H$  be left-orderable groups with positive cones  $P_K \subset K$  and  $P_H \subset H$ . Consider the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 1$$

Then  $P_G = \{g \in G : \pi(g) \in P_H\} \cup P_K$  is a positive cone of  $G$ .

There are two orderings on  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the canonical one and its reverse ordering. We thus get four bi-orderings:

$$P_{\infty}^{++} = \{(r, s) : s > 0 \vee (s = 0 \wedge r > 0)\}$$

$$P_{\infty}^{+-} = \{(r, s) : s > 0 \vee (s = 0 \wedge r < 0)\}$$

$$P_{\infty}^{-+} = \{(r, s) : s < 0 \vee (s = 0 \wedge r > 0)\}$$

$$P_{\infty}^{--} = \{(r, s) : s < 0 \vee (s = 0 \wedge r < 0)\}$$

These four are bi-orderings and conjugation invariant.

$BS(1, n)$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}$  via orientation preserving affine transformations, i.e. there is  $\rho : BS(1, n) \rightarrow Aff^+(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$\rho(a)(x) = x + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b)(x) = x/n.$$

i.e.,  $\rho(a^r b^s)(x) = n^{-s}x + r$ .

For  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$

$$P_\varepsilon^+ = \{g : \rho(g)(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon\}$$

$$P_\varepsilon^- = \{g : \rho(g)(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon\}$$

For  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$Q_\varepsilon^{++} = \{g : (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon) \vee (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \wedge \rho(g)(\varepsilon + 1) > \varepsilon + 1)\}$$

$$Q_\varepsilon^{+-} = \{g : (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon) \vee (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \wedge \rho(g)(\varepsilon + 1) < \varepsilon + 1)\}$$

$$Q_\varepsilon^{-+} = \{g : (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon) \vee (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \wedge \rho(g)(\varepsilon + 1) > \varepsilon + 1)\}$$

$$Q_\varepsilon^{--} = \{g : (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon) \vee (\rho(g)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \wedge \rho(g)(\varepsilon + 1) < \varepsilon + 1)\}$$

**Theorem (Rivas '10, Derooin–Navas–Rivas '16).** The above sets are all the positive cones on  $BS(1, n)$ .

Note that the point  $\varepsilon$  and type are sufficient to recover the positive cone.

**Theorem (Rivas '10, Derooin–Navas–Rivas '16).** The above sets are all the positive cones on  $BS(1, n)$ .

Note that the point  $\varepsilon$  and type are sufficient to recover the positive cone.

**Theorem (HLR).** For every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $E_{lo}^{BS(1,n)}$  is hyperfinite.

**Theorem (Rivas '10, Deroin–Navas–Rivas '16).** The above sets are all the positive cones on  $BS(1, n)$ .

Note that the point  $\varepsilon$  and type are sufficient to recover the positive cone.

**Theorem (HLR).** For every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $E_{lo}^{BS(1,n)}$  is hyperfinite.

One can show that for any of the above cones  $T_{\rho(g^{-1})(\varepsilon)}^\circ = (T_\varepsilon^\circ)^g$ , so it is sufficient to show that the orbit equivalence relation of  $BS(1, n) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}$  is hyperfinite.

Let  $x E_t^n y \iff \exists p, q \forall k \ x(p+k) = y(q+k)$  for  $x, y \in n^\omega$ . By Dougherty–Jackson–Kechris '94 this is hyperfinite.

We define a Borel reduction to  $E_t^n$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow n^\omega$  by  $x \mapsto \{x\}$  where  $\{x\}$  denotes the base  $n$  expansion of the decimal part of  $x$ . Suppose that  $y = \rho((r, s))(x) = n^{-s}x + r$  and multiply the equation by a large power of  $n$  to get  $n^p y = n^q x + t$ . But then  $\{x\}(q + k) = \{y\}(p + k)$  for all  $k$  and  $f(x) \in E_t^n \iff f(y)$ .



We define a Borel reduction to  $E_t^n$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow n^\omega$  by  $x \mapsto \{x\}$  where  $\{x\}$  denotes the base  $n$  expansion of the decimal part of  $x$ . Suppose that  $y = \rho((r, s))(x) = n^{-s}x + r$  and multiply the equation by a large power of  $n$  to get  $n^p y = n^q x + t$ . But then  $\{x\}(q + k) = \{y\}(p + k)$  for all  $k$  and  $f(x) E_t^n f(y)$ .

On the other hand if  $f(x) E_t^n \{y\} = f(y)$ , then  $n^p y = n^q x + t$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $y = n^{q-p}x + tn^{-p}$ , so  $y = \rho((tn^{-p}, q - p))(x)$ .

## EFFECTIVE ASPECTS

---

While orderable groups have seen some attention in the computability theoretic setting by Downey and Kurtz '86, Solomon '01,'02, and more recently by Harrison-Trainor '18, Darbinyan '20, and Darbinyan and Steenbock '22, the interaction with dynamics seems to have not been investigated.

Below is a short showcase on how dynamical realizations can be used in this context.

- Recall that  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  is **left c.e.** if the left cut  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q}_2 : q < \varepsilon\}$  is c.e. Similarly  $\varepsilon$  has Turing degree **d** if its left cut is of that degree.
- Fix a “standard” computable presentation  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Given a positive cone  $T_\varepsilon^\circ$  of  $\text{BS}(1, n)$  call  $\varepsilon$  its **base point** and  $T^\circ$  its type.

**Proposition (HLR).** Left-orderings of  $\text{BS}(1, n)$  are Turing equivalent to their base point, uniformly in the type.

Let  $G$  be a computable left-orderable group and  $P$  a c.e. positive cone of  $G$ . Then

$$I(G) = \{e : W_e \text{ is a positive cone}\}$$

$$I(P, G) = \{e : W_e \text{ } E_{lo} \text{ } P\}$$

**Proposition.** Let  $G$  be an infinite computable group with a computable left-ordering. Then  $I(G)$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete.

**Theorem (HLR).**

- (1)  $I(P_\infty^\circ, \text{BS}(1, n))$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete.
- (2)  $I(P_\varepsilon^\circ, \text{BS}(1, n))$  is  $\Sigma_3^0$ -complete for every computable  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ .

For the proof of the proposition and (1) simply fix an index  $i$  for a cone and at  $s$  if  $W_{e,s+1} \neq W_{e,s}$  let  $W_{f(e),s} = W_{i,s}$ , otherwise  $W_{i,s} = W_{i,s-1}$ . Then  $f$  reduces  $Inf$ .

The proof for (2) is a classical movable marker construction and similar to the proof that the set of left-c.e. reals is  $\Sigma_3^0$  complete.

**Question.** What is the complexity of  $I(Q_\varepsilon^\circ, \text{BS}(1, n))$  for  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ ?

**Question.** What is the complexity of  $E_{lo}^{\text{BS}(1,n)}$  and  $E_{lo}^G$  for other  $G$  on indices in terms of computable reducibility?

- Calderoni, Filippo, and Adam Clay. “Condensation and Left-Orderable Groups.” arXiv Preprint arXiv:2312.04993, 2023.
- Calderoni, Filippo, and Adam Clay. “The Borel Complexity of the Space of Left-Orderings, Low-Dimensional Topology, and Dynamics.” arXiv Preprint arXiv:2305.03927, 2022.
- Calderoni, Filippo, David Marker, Luca Motto Ros, and Assaf Shani. “Anti-Classification Results for Groups Acting Freely on the Line.” *Advances in Mathematics* 418 (2023): Paper No. 108938, 45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2023.108938>.
- Derooin, B., A. Navas, and C. Rivas. “Groups, Orders, and Dynamics.” arXiv, October 4, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1408.5805>.
- Ho, Meng-Che “Turbo,” Khanh Le, and Dino Rossegger. “Algorithmic Aspects of Left-Orderings of Solvable Baumslag-Solitar Groups via Its Dynamical Realization.” In *Conference on Computability in Europe*, Vol. to appear. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.08442>.